

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/563,842 | HENDRIKS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Scott J. Sugarman | Art Unit 2873 | |

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Scott J. Sugarman.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr Belk.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2010**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The Examiner pointed out that the After Final Terminal Disclaimer was approved and the application was in condition for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Scott J. Sugarman/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)